Appendices

To accompany the Small Business Advocacy Review (SBAR) Panel Presentation

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N-Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers

Appendi	x Appendix Title
Α	Panel Questions for Small Entity Representatives (SERs)
В	Regulatory History and International Actions for Methylene Chloride and NMP
С	Additional Cost Information
D	Additional Information on the Efficacy of Alternative Paint Removers
E	US Department of Labor Support of Rulemaking
F	Articles on Methylene Chloride in Paint Removers
G	List of Alternative Paint Removal Products
Н	OSHA Assigned Protection Factors for the Revised Respiratory Protection Standard

Appendix A

Panel Questions for Small Entity Representative (SERs)

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N - Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers

TSCA Section 6 Proposed Rule: Paint Removers

Panel Outreach SER Questions for Discussion

These are informal questions that aim to guide discussion on your work practices and your experiences with these chemicals. We are not seeking a structured response on each question; rather, we are interested in any feedback or details you can provide, and hope that these questions let you know what type of information would be most useful as we consider advice from the small entity representatives.

If you are interested in providing this or other information in writing, please see the contact information at the end.

For manufacturers, processors, product formulators, and distributors:

- 1) General questions related to paint removal:
 - a. Who are your customers? (large businesses, small businesses, consumers, retailers)
 - b. What are your products used for (specific substrates, specific coatings)?
 - c. Do your customers tend to look for specific chemicals in paint removers, or do they prefer use brand names or product names?
 - d. Do you sell other devices related to paint removal (such as sanders, blasters, personal protective equipment)?
- 2) Methylene chloride and NMP in your business:
 - a. What percent of your business is paint removers?
 - i. Of that, what percent contain methylene chloride?
 - ii. Of that, what percent contain NMP?
 - b. Have you had any worker incidents, accidents, or complaints related to paint removers containing these chemicals? If yes, can you elaborate or provide some examples?
 - c. Do you sell paint removers containing alternative chemicals to methylene chloride & NMP?
 - i. What feedback have you received from your customers about them?
 - ii. Specifically, have customers said anything related to effectiveness, wait time for paint removal, or impacts on the substrate?
 - d. What are the current and best practices in your company to reduce environmental releases of processing methylene chloride?
 - i. How do you manage emissions and waste disposal?
- 3) General questions related to proposed regulatory options:
 - a. How frequently do you reformulate your products?
 - b. Are the cost estimates for reformulation accurate?
 - c. How long does the reformulation process typically take?
 - d. Can you think of ways to add flexibility to this rulemaking for your small business?

For all users of paint removers (all industries):

- 4) Current work practices related to paint removal:
 - a. How often do you conduct paint or coating removal? (daily, weekly, etc)
 - i. Do you typically use chemical or mechanical means to remove paint? (sanding, heat gun, blasting, other)?
 - ii. What factors into your decision whether to use chemical or mechanical methods of paint removal?
 - b. How significant is paint or coating removal to your business overall?
 - c. Coatings:
 - i. What type of coatings do you most frequently remove?
 - ii. How many layers of coating do you most frequently remove?
 - iii. Do any particular coatings or substrates present special challenges for removal?
 - d. How does the time to remove paint vary by method or chemical used?
 - e. Do you tend to look for specific chemicals in your paint removers, or do you prefer to look for brand names or product names?
 - i. How do you know which chemicals are in the products you are using?
 - ii. What are trusted sources of information for you about products or chemicals used in your business?
 - f. What do you feel is the most important factor in paint removal: client preference, dwell time, ease of removing the coating, impact on the substrate, price of materials, worker safety, total job time, or other factors?
- 5) Using methylene chloride or NMP in your business:
 - a. How is methylene chloride or NMP currently used in your business?
 - i. How often do you use methylene chloride? In what context?
 - ii. How much methylene chloride does your business use in a typical year?
 - iii. How often do you use NMP? In what context?
 - iv. How much NMP does your business use in a typical year?
 - v. Do you use NMP as a substitute for methylene chloride?
 - vi. What quantities do you purchase? (gallon containers, 55-gallon drums, etc.) Would a requirement to purchase material in a 55-gallon drum significantly affect your business?
 - vii. Where/how do you purchase these products (distributor/direct sales, store, etc)?
 - viii. How much do product labels (particularly hazard labels on products) inform your use of the paint remover?
 - b. If paint removers containing methylene chloride or NMP were not available, what would the impacts be on your business?
 - c. What are the benefits to your business of using methylene chloride or NMP?
 - d. What are the challenges to your business of using methylene chloride or NMP?
 - e. We have heard that many businesses involved in repainting or refinishing aircraft, marinecraft, bathtubs, and cars are moving away from using methylene chloride in paint removal. In your experience, is this correct?
- 6) Exposure reduction for workers
 - a. What are your experiences with:

- i. Installing or updating ventilation and local exhaust
- ii. Installing or operating other engineering controls
- iii. Equipment changes to reduce exposures
- iv. Monitoring worker exposures to chemicals in the air
- v. Air-supplied respirators
- vi. Specialized gloves (such as Silver Shield)
- vii. Other personal protective equipment
- viii. Worker training to reduce exposures
- b. If you have changed or updated your exposure reduction technology or methods, how long did that process take?
- c. What do you do to comply with OSHA standards for methylene chloride?
- d. What do you currently do to reduce environmental releases of methylene chloride? How do you manage emissions and waste disposal?
- e. Have you had any worker incidents, accidents, or complaints related to paint removal?
 - i. Do you have concerns about worker exposure to methylene chloride?
 - ii. What do you do to address worker risks or concerns for chemical exposures, and specifically for methylene chloride?
- f. Have you received any customer feedback about methylene chloride use?
- g. Do you have concerns about worker exposure to NMP?
 - i. What do you do to address worker risks or concerns for chemical exposures, and specifically for NMP?
- h. Have you received any customer feedback about NMP use?

7) Substitutes and alternatives:

- a. What alternative chemicals or methods have you tried, and what are the results?
- b. What is the impact of dwell time for any substitutes, and are there any workarounds?
- c. How do you learn about new chemicals, products, or methods for paint removal? (sales representative or materials, trade press, other?)
- d. If you have tried or switched to alternative chemicals or methods, how long did that process take?
- e. What resources or tools does you need to move to adopting alternatives to methylene chloride and NMP?
- f. Chemical replacement:
 - i. What is important to you when considering chemical replacement or process change? (ease of use, flammability, efficacy, speed, price, other)
 - ii. Have you replaced chemicals, products, or processes in the past?

8) Regulatory options

- a. Which of the regulatory options presented today would you recommend?
- b. Cost estimates: In your experience, are the cost estimates accurate for both options presented?
- c. Can you think of ways to add flexibility to this rulemaking for your small business?
- d. How do you learn about EPA regulations and what you should do to comply?
- e. What is the best way to reach out to members of your industry?

Additional questions for paint remover users conducting renovations in residences, hotels, etc.:

- 1) General questions:
 - a. Who are your customers? (Individuals, hotels, apartment building owners, property managers, non-residential building owners, others)
 - b. How much do client preferences determine how paint is removed?
- 2) Bystander exclusion:
 - a. To what extent is paint removal conducted when few non workers are in the building?
 - b. Do you follow different work practices depending on whether a building is entirely vacant or if occupants are present during the renovation or at other times of the day?
 - c. What would the impact to your business be if residents or non-workers needed to leave the building for 24 hours after work was completed? How would clients react? Do they leave the building already?

Additional questions for furniture refinishers:

- 1) General questions:
 - a. What is the physical size of your business?
 - i. What is the square footage of the area in which paint removal is conducted?
 - ii. What else occurs in that area? (furniture repair, reupholstery, painting, administrative work, other)
 - b. How much do client preferences determine how paint or coatings are removed?
- 2) Risk reduction:
 - a. Do you have a ventilation system installed? If not, would it be feasible for you to install one?
 - b. Do you have a way to isolate the paint removal area from other types of work?
 - c. Do you have experience in air monitoring?
 - i. For what chemicals?
 - ii. At what levels?
 - d. Do you have experience with workers using personal protective equipment such as air-supplied respirators?

Contact information:

Nathaniel Jutras, RFA/SBREFA staff contact EPA Office of Policy 202-564-0301 Jutras.Nathaniel@epa.gov

Appendix B

Regulatory History and International Action

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N - Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers

Regulatory History of Methylene Chloride at EPA

Waste:

- Listed as toxic (non-acute) hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.
- Listed on the Toxics Release Inventory.

• Air:

- Listed as a hazardous air pollutant (HAP) from several different emission sources.
- 2008: Source rule for paint stripping & misc. surface coating operation established standards for using methylene chloride to remove dried paint; implemented management practices to minimize emissions.
- 1995: NESHAP for large aerospace paint removal operations; updated 2015.

Water:

 2010: Maximum Contaminant Level set under the Safe Drinking Water Act at 5 ppb.

Regulatory History of NMP at EPA

- Listed on the Toxics Release Inventory.
- Listed under Clean Air Act Section 111: Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources of Air Pollutants – Equipment Leaks Chemical List.
- Approved for use as a pesticide inert ingredient (food & nonfood uses).

Methylene Chloride: Other Agencies

- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)
 - 2000: Facilities using methylene chloride must use vapor control equipment. When using methylene chloride off-site (e.g. home renovations), air tests, improved ventilation engineered controls, and personal protective equipment (including full-face atmosphere-supplying respirators) must be used.
 - 1997: A lower Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) was set in 1997 for paint removal in furniture operations (from 500 ppm to 25 ppm).
- National Institutes for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)
 - 2013: Issued a hazard alert for methylene chloride bathtub refinishing use, highlighting the fatalities caused by this specific application.
 - 2000: Listed methylene chloride as a potential carcinogen.
- Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC)
 - 2013: Public fact sheet on paint strippers highlighting risks of methylene chloride.
 - 1988: Warning labels required on all products containing more than one percent methylene chloride. The cautionary labeling requirements note potential cancer hazard, factors that contribute to risk, and safeguards such as using the product in a well-ventilated area. Personal protective equipment (PPE) information is not listed.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
 - 1989: Banned methylene chloride as an ingredient in all cosmetic products; had been used in aerosol cosmetic products such as hairspray.
- Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
 - Hazardous chemicals (including methylene chloride) prohibited from use for lead paint removal in enclosed spaces.

NMP: Other Agencies

- OSHA: No PEL established
 - California: State PEL of 1 ppm
- CPSC: Public fact sheet about paint strippers, including hazards of NMP and recommendations for personal protective equipment (created in 2013; updated in 2015)

Sample of State Regulations

State	Methylene Chloride	NMP
Alaska	Listed as a carcinogenic hazardous substance	
California	Listed by Proposition 65; listed as an informational candidate under CA's Safer Consumer Products regulations; designated chemical for biomonitoring.	Listed by Proposition 65; PEL at 1 ppm in an 8-hr TWA; requires employees to wear appropriate gloves; listed as an informational candidate under CA's Safer Consumer Products regulations.
Florida	Listed as a liver carcinogen.	
Indiana, Iowa, South Carolina	Established detection monitoring regulations.	
Minnesota	Chemical of high concern	Chemical of high concern
New Hampshire		Toxicair pollutant
New Jersey		Hazardous substance
Pennsylvania	Listed as 'environmental' and 'special' hazard (for carcinogenicity).	Hazardous substance
Vermont		Air pollutant
Washington	Chemical of high concern under Children's Safe Products Act; regulated to minimize occupational exposure	Chemical of high concern under Children's Safe Products Act

Sample of International Regulations & Classifications

State	Methylene Chloride	NMP
	2010: Incorporated restrictions for use in paint strippers. Banned from use in concentrations greater than 0.1% in products for consumers / professionals unless professionals are appropriately licensed and trained.	Candidate list of substances of very high concern for authorization in the EU.
EU	2012: Industrial operations must have appropriate ventilation, evaporation minimization, training, PPE May be some exceptions to these restrictions in certain countries (like UK).	Proposed for restrictions under REACH on concentrations higher than 0.3%. Ongoing discussions.
	Will be considered Carcinogen 2 under REACH	
Canada	 2003: published code of practice to reduce methylene chloride emissions from paint strippers in commercial operations. 1999: Required pollution prevention plans for all persons using methylene chloride in several activities (including aircraft paint stripping). 	High priority chemical to be addressed under CMP3, post-2016.
Australia		Subject of Tier II health risk assessment; subject to labeling and related requirements.
IARC	Will be considered a probable human carcinogen	

Appendix C

Additional Cost Information

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N - Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers

First Year Costs: Methylene Chloride PPE

Industry Sector	First Year Cost
Aircraft	\$167,000
Art Restoration & Conservation	\$56,000
Automotive	\$220,000
Bathtub Refinishing	\$950,000
Furniture Refinishing	\$7,200,000
Graffiti Removal	\$136,000
Professional Contractors	\$18,000,000
Ship/marine Craft	\$35,000

First Year Costs: NMP PPE

Industry Sector	First Year Cost
Aircraft	\$0/not applicable
Art Restoration & Conservation	\$64,000
Automotive	\$1,000
Bathtub Refinishing	\$0/not applicable
Furniture Refinishing	\$720,000
Graffiti Removal	\$867,000
Professional Contractors	\$1,900,000
Ship/marine Craft	\$0/not applicable

Appendix D

Additional Information on the Efficacy of Alternative Paint Removers

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N-Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers

Resources:

- 1. Kelley, John, and Thomas Considine. "Performance Evaluation of Hap-Free Paint Strippers vs. Methylene-Chloride-Based Strippers for Removing Army Chemical Agent Resistant Coatings (CARC)." *Army Research Laboratory* (2006): 1-42.
 - Available
 online: http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=A
 DA451375
 - Abstract/Overview: The purpose of this effort is to investigate alternative chemical paint strippers free of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) as potential replacements for the methylene-chloride- based chemical strippers currently used in manual and immersion ("dip") coating stripping operations. Historically, methylene-chloride- based strippers have been faster and more effective at stripping the MIL-P-46168 chemical agent resistant coatings (CARC) system than many alternatives. Therefore, finding a HAP-free chemical stripper that will minimally impact the U. S. Army depots throughput rate is an important consideration. This report compares the performance of methylene-chloride strippers vs. HAP-free alternatives in timed laboratory paint stripping experiments to remove four different CARC systems.
- 2. Stack, Stacey. "Graffiti Remover Research and Field Test Report: The Search for Safer Products." *Responsible Purchasing Network's Purchasing Guides* (2003): 1-27.
 - Available online: http://www.responsiblepurchasing.org/publications/Grafitti Report.pdf
 - Abstract/Overview: This report encompasses the results of product content evaluation and subsequent field tests of those products for graffiti removal. It presents lessons learned and resources for the reader to apply when exploring low-risk graffiti remover products.

Appendix D: Additional Information on the Efficacy of Alternative Paint Removers

- 3. SHARP (Safety & Health Assessment & Research for Prevention). "Successful Bathtub Stripping with Benzyl Alcohol as an Alternative to Methylene Chloride (MC)." (2012).
 - Available
 online: http://www.lni.wa.gov/safety/research/files/mchazalertbenzylalcoholalternativ
 e.pdf
 - Abstract/Overview: In a 2005 Washington-OSHA inspection, Bathcrest of Seattle was assessed over \$10,000 for 15 violations related to the use of Klean-Strip Aircraft Remover (containing up to 85% MC) during bathtub stripping. Bathcrest of Seattle's owner, Lorelei, realized the health hazards and costs of working with MC required them to find an alternative product free of MC. Finding a safe but effective stripper for use on residential bathtubs has not been easy. After trying several different paint strippers, Bathcrest's 3 full-time technicians now use water-based Smart Strip with benzyl alcohol (30-50%) by Dumond Chemicals.
- 4. Michigan Fatality Assessment & Control Evaluation (FACE). "Methylene Chloride Causes Death of Three MI Bathtub Refinishers." (2010).
 - Available online: http://www.oem.msu.edu/userfiles/bathtubrefinishingha14.pdf
 - Abstract/Overview: Provides information on the hazards of methylene chloride and different chemical products that can be used instead.
- 5. Sosman, B.A., Jeremy, and Meza, MPH, Erika. "Toxic Paint Removers: Safer Choices Campaign." (2014): 1-52.
 - Full report available online: http://aoec.org/ohip/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/Final-Report-San-Francisco.-Jeremy-Sosman-Erika-Meza.pdf
 - Summary presentation available online: http://aoec.org/ohip/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/13-San-Francisco-Toxic-Paint-Removers-Safer-Alternatives.pdf
 - Abstract/Overview: Research project that evaluated worker knowledge of paint remover risks, and collected feedback from commercial and professional users on substitutes for methylene chloride and NMP.
- 6. Toxics Use Reduction Institute (TURI). "Higher Hazard Substance Designation Recommendation: Methylene Chloride or Dichloromethane (CAS 75-09-2)." (2013): 1-15.
 - Available online: http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/eea/ota/turprog/policy-analysis-methylene-chloride-may-29.pdf
 - Abstract: As part of the higher hazard substance designation in Massachusetts for methylene chloride, the Toxic Use Reduction Institute analyzed the trends in commercial use of methylene chloride for paint removal, and identified alternative chemicals in use already in several industries.

- 7. Jacobs, Molly; Bingxuan Wang, Mark Rossi. "Alternatives to Methylene Chloride in Paint and Varnish Strippers." *BizNGO*. (2015): 1-44.
 - Available online: http://www.bizngo.org/resources/entry/resourcemethylene
 - Abstract/Overview: BizNGO (a collaboration of leaders from businesses, environmental groups, universities, and governments) initiated a demonstration project to a draft priority product under California Safer Consumer Product regulations: paint and varnish strippers with methylene chloride. Among the conclusions detailed in the report is the finding that safer alternatives to methylene chloride for paint stripping are widely available based on assessing the hazards of eleven chemical alternatives.
- 8. Elber, Gail. "Paint Strippers, Types of Strippers." PaintPRO Magazine: The Professional Paint & Decorating Contractor's Journal (June 2000), Vol. 3 No. 3.
 - Available online: www.paintpro.net/Articles/PP303/PP303 strippers.cfm
 - Abstract/Overview: Description of types and brands of chemical paint strippers for professional painters and renovators, with pros and cons of each.
- 9. Hardin, Drew. "The Ultimate Paint and Body Guide Part 2- How To Strip Paint." Hot Rod Network. June 1, 2007. Republished February 2009.
 - Available online: http://www.hotrod.com/how-to/paint-body/hdrp-0606-paintstripping-basics-tips/
 - Abstract/Overview: It's time to reach beneath the surface to see what is really hiding under that old paint on your ride. Find out which method is best for you as we delve into the many methods you can use to take it down to bare metal.
- 10. "What You Should Know About Using Paint Strippers". Doityourself.com. Accessed April 30, 2016.
 - Available online: http://www.doityourself.com/stry/usingpaintstrippers#b
 - Abstract/Overview: Overview of different types of chemical paint strippers available for do-it-yourself or small projects, with pros and cons of each.



PaintPRO , Vol. 3, No. 3 June 2000



Subscribe to PaintPRO's FREE Digital Magazine!

Stay informed! Subscribe to the PaintPRO Newsletter

Subscribe Unsubscribe
e-mail address

Submit

PaintPRO Product Index

Related Readings:

New VOC Regs Low-VOC Paint Market Deck Stains Exterior Acrylic Stains Exterior Bull-Body Stains Gel Stains

Other articles in this issue:

Wood Deck Maintenance
Airless Sprayers Buyers Guide
Paint Strippers
Masking Tape
Understanding Blueprints
Contractor Profile: DP Painting
Co.
Paint Product News


Painting Tips Q&A

PaintPRO Archives

Paint Strippers, Types of Strippers Types of strippers: Strippers fall into three categories: caustic, solvent, and biochemical. There is no shortage of strippers to choose from. Many painters are loyal to one brand. If you're not yet one of them, consult your dealer, quiz other painters, and experiment. by Gail Elber

Here's the range of answers I got when I asked several painters if they used chemical paint strippers:


- · "Never! Ever! It gives you brain damage!"
- "Never! Well, except on concrete. Outdoors. And first I get most of the paint off with a heat gun."
- "Occasionally, but only when I have to."



Bookstore

ENTER KEYWORD


Subscribe



Advertising

Search

After more conversations with painters and paint dealers, I found that many painters don't understand how strippers work, or how to match a stripper to a job. Although many painters prefer to minimize their use of these chemicals, most painters must use them occasionally. So unless you're a hard-core "Never! Ever!" painter, take the next few minutes to learn how to use strippers safely and productively.



Types of strippers Strippers fall into three categories: caustic, solvent, and biochemical.

Caustic strippers are water-based solutions with a pH of 13 to 14. Their active ingredient is lye, which may be either potassium hydroxide (known as caustic potash) or sodium hydroxide (caustic soda). In caustic strippers, the lye reacts with the oily component of the paint film, turning it into soap. This reaction with the paint loosens it from the surface. The health risks of caustic strippers include skin burns and lung irritation.

Solvent strippers remove paint by

dissolving or softening the bond between the film and substrate, causing the coating to bubble up. The most common solvent is methylene chloride (also called dichloromethane), but alcohol, toluene, acetone, and ketones are often also present.

Methylene chloride-based strippers work very well. However, they pose more potent health risks than caustic strippers do. They temporarily reduce the blood's capacity to carry oxygen and may cause permanent liver and kidney damage and cancer.

Another solvent is N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), often used in combination with dibasic

Paint Strippers, Types of Strippers - PaintPRO Magazine

esters (DBE). Although these strippers are promoted as a safer alternative to methylene chloride, their health effects are not yet completely understood. According to the EPA, NMP causes skin swelling, irritation, and blisters. Dibasic esters cling nicely to vertical surfaces, but they work slowly and have been reported to fuzz the surface of wood.

Yet another solvent system is a combination of alcohol, toluene, and methanol. This cocktail works quickly, but it evaporates quickly and is highly flammable. Breathing it can give you brain damage. The fact that it evaporates quickly reduces somewhat the volume of waste you must dispose of.

Biochemical-based stripping agents are another category. The solvents in them are derived from plants. Biochemical-based strippers may include terpenes, from pine or citrus; lactic acids, from corn sugars; dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), from wood pulp and paper by-products; citric acid; and soy oil. Some of these materials can irritate your skin. In addition to the biochemical ingredients, most of these strippers contain NMP.

Although manufacturers of citrus-based products emphasize their suitability for commercial use, the paint stores in my town don't report selling a lot of citrus-based strippers to professionals. If customers are concerned about odor, they may be happier if you use a citrus-based stripper. Remind them, though, that citrus-based strippers do contain harmful chemicals, and that the stripper will have to remain on the surface for a long time to work.

Strippers marketed as "safe" or "eco" don't contain methylene chloride, but they may contain NMP, DBE, biochemical agents, or a combination.

Matching the

stripper to the job

There is no shortage of strippers to choose from. Many painters are loyal to one brand. If you're not yet one of them, consult your dealer, quiz other painters, and experiment. You may find that you prefer one brand for wood and another for concrete, for example.

Both methylene chloride and caustic strippers will chew through most combinations of alkyd and latex paints. Methylene chloride offers a slight edge in removing epoxies and polyurethanes; caustics perform better than solvents on alkyds. Caustics will darken wood, necessitating a bleaching step if you're planning to stain it. They'll also eat aluminum. Caustics have to remain on the surface longer, but many prefer the risks of caustics to the risks of methylene chloride or other solvents. Surface temperature is also a factor in choosing a stripper: caustic strippers don't work well at temperatures below 50 degrees F. And the logistics of ventilation are important. You don't want to use methylene chloride if it's too cold to keep the windows open.

Some strippers are designed for a certain application. Got milk? Caustic strippers designed for coping with milk paint are available (for example, D.O. Siever, www.realmilkpaint.com). Got lead? Strippers meant for lead-based paint contain lime, which bonds with the lead so that it can't leach out of the waste that you scrape off. Consult your local environmental authorities to see if this will ease your waste disposal problems. Dumond Chemicals and Back to Nature, among others, make strippers of this type. Dumond is also notable for its Peel Away series of products that come with fiber sheets that act as a sort of poultice to hold the stripper on the surface. And Napier Environmental Technologies (www.biowash.com) makes a caustic product specifically formulated for removing stain from decks, fences, and log homes.

Andre Weker of Fiberlock Technologies recommends that you put up test patches of several different removers. Not only will this tell you which type works best on a particular job, but it'll tell you how long you'll need to wait before scraping, so you can plan your day.

Stripping tips

Read the label. It'll tell you what precautions to take, whether the container needs to be shaken or stirred, how long the materials should remain on the surface, how to neutralize the surface after stripping, and other important information.

No matter what kind of stripper you use, you'll want to cover your skin, wear a respirator designed to exclude solvent vapors, and don safety glasses and neoprene or butyl gloves. For all strippers, ventilation is absolutely necessary. Take cabinet doors or other easily removable parts outside to strip. If you must work indoors, to stay within OSHA's new 25 ppm exposure limit for methylene chloride, the air in the room must change 7-10 times an hour, says Gene Freeman of Bix Manufacturing. To accomplish this, arrange a fan behind you so that the air carries the vapors away from you and out a window, not toward you. Freeman recommends using respirators that supply filtered compressed air from your compressor. Finally, if you're using a flammable stripper, make sure pilot lights of nearby gas appliances are out.

You can apply strippers with a brush, a roller, a hand spray bottle, or a sprayer, depending on the consistency of the product and the area to be covered. Both solvent-based and caustic based strippers will destroy the rubber, leather, and aluminum parts of a sprayer, but some sprayers can be fitted with neoprene or polyethylene replacements for rubber components and stainless steel replacements for aluminum. If you do a lot of stripping of big areas, consult the manufacturer of your sprayers to determine the cost of dedicating one to stripper.


To brush on a caustic stripper, lay it on thickly in one direction, as if you were icing a cake. Don't brush over the surface once it's applied, or you'll disturb the airtight layer that quickly forms to keep the chemical moist while it does its work. Solvent strippers, whether liquid or gel, don't need to be applied so thickly.

Leave the area completely while the stripper is working. Your test patches are your guideline for how long to wait. When it's time, scrape off the goo into a plastic bag or a paint container. A flexible-bladed drywall knife is a good scraper, but dull the edge and round off the corners with a file to minimize the risk of gouging the surface. A plastic scrubbie will get the stuff out of wood pores without leaving rusty fibers as steel wool can. Get into the nooks and crannies with toothpicks, bits of paper, and so forth. If paint remains, give it another application.

When all the paint is gone, wash or neutralize the surface according to the manufacturer's directions. Caustic strippers can be neutralized with vinegar and water. Some caustic strippers, such as Dumond's Peel Away, require a proprietary neutralizer. You must test the surface with pH paper to make sure it's reached pH 7. Solvent strippers can be washed off with mineral spirits. Manufacturers of methylene chloride-based strippers say they clean up with water, but water can fuzz the surface of wood, so it's best to use mineral spirits if you're stripping woodwork.

Porous substrates such as wood or concrete will absorb alkaline material from caustic strippers. Even if you neutralize the surface, the absorbed material can bleed to the surface over a period of time. Andre Weker of Fiberlock Technologies recommends neutralizing the surface to pH 7, going away for a few days, then testing the pH again before painting over it.

Whichever kind of stripper you use, thoroughly dry the surface with fans and heat (it may take a week or more, depending on your climate) before further preparation and painting. If you haven't invested in a moisture meter yet, now would be a good time. A surface that is 15 percent water is too wet to paint; 12 percent is just right. Cedar, cypress, and redwood contain water-soluble material that may bleed through paint if the surface is damp when painted, and these woods may take 60-90 days to dry.



What to do with the goo Environmental regulations vary so much from place to place that you had better call your local authorities to determine the best way to dispose of the goo that you scrape off while stripping. Don't let it get down the drain or into the storm sewer. For a small residential job, you may be able to let the slurry dry outdoors on newspapers, then put them in plastic bags and throw them in the trash. In my town (Eugene, Oregon), the county

waste-disposal site has a household hazardous waste day once a month, and some painters go there in an unmarked vehicle to drop off waste from an occasional job. The local paint stores also cooperate on a paint-disposal and recycling program, and they tolerate occasional pails of goo, though large volumes are discouraged. Painters who do more stripping accumulate pails of goo in the shop, and eventually pay a waste-disposal service to get rid of it all at once. Don't put stripping waste in a metal paint can or mix waste from different jobs in the same container: unpredictable reactions may happen.

To strip or not to strip

Strippers are among the most dangerous chemicals you encounter in your line of work. Although the trend is toward newer solvents that are safer than methylene chloride, you must treat all strippers with respect. Weigh all the considerations, and decide where stripping fits into your business. You may feel comfortable with taking the necessary precautions and using strippers regularly. You may use them as a last resort on troublesome spots after doing all you can with a heat gun. Or - especially if you're of reproductive age, have health problems, or simply feel that you're close to absorbing your quota of chemicals for one lifetime — don't be ashamed if you decide to pass that work to someone else.



Home | Contact | Siteman | Privacy Policy | Links | Bookstore | Subscribe | Advertising

© 2007 Professional Trade Publications, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of any information on this site is a violation of existing copyright laws. All rights reserved.

ST

TECH FEATURE STORIES HISTORY

NE/AS

EVENTS

VIDEOS

READER'S RIDES

DELLXE

SUBSCRIBE *



CAFS











Forums

Home » The Ultimate Paint And Body Guide Part 2 - How To Strip Paint

The Ultimate Paint And Body Guide Part 2- How To Strip Paint

IT'S TIME TO REACH BENEITH THE SURFACE TO SEE WHAT IS REALLY HIDING UNDER THAT OLD PAINT ON YOUR RIDE. FIND OUT WHICH METHOD IS BEST FOR YOU AS WE DELVE INTO THE MANY METHODS YOU CAN DO TO TAKE IT DOWN TO BARE METAL.

Photography by Courtesy Of The Eastwood Co., Drew Hardin, Sears, Steve Dulcich

Hot Rod Magazine, February 24, 2009 Recommend 2 Tweet 0

Unless your project car d has been hammered out of virgin sheetmetal, the first thing to do when considering new paint is figure out how to handle the paint that's already there.

In some cases, the decision is pretty straightforward. Many painters consider a car's original finish to be one of the best foundations you can have for fresh paint, provided what's still on the car isn't cracking, lifting, or showing other signs of wear or damage. As John Sloane of Eastwood put it, a car's original finish "was applied with perfect prep and under ideal conditions, so it's tough to beat." If that's the case with your project car, you can feel pretty secure about scuffing the original finish, then priming and shooting right over it.



Then again, how many potential project cars of have you run into lately that still sport unblemished, original paint? More likely, the cars you're

looking to buy and build are covered with Lord-knows-how-many resprays over the top of Lord-knows-how-much body filler, questionable patch panels, bad welds, rust, or some combination of them all. And that is definitely not the kind of foundation you want under your spanking-new paint.

If you're not completely familiar with a car's history, how can you tell what may be lurking underneath that top layer of pigment? Well, there's a decidedly low-tech method: Grab a piece of sandpaper, pick a spot on the car -- preferably a location likely to have seen some damage over the years, like a rocker or rear quarter-panel -and start rubbing. (A pocketknife will work, too, if you can find a corner to pick at.) It won't take long before you start revealing layers beneath the topcoat. Read them like tree rings: The more layers you find, the greater the certainty that a scuff job won't cut it and a strip to bare metal is in order.

If you're looking for a less intrusive way to judge the integrity of a car's finish, Eastwood sells a couple of different types of paint-thickness gauges you can use without leaving a mark. Paint-thickness gauges -- a magnetic version retails for about \$50 and an ultrasonic one with a digital readout will set you back about \$350 - are actually not 100 percent accurate, Sloane said. What these gauges do is measure the distance between the paint's surface and the sheetmetal below (both gauges work only on steel bodies). OE paint will measure between 0.003 inch and 0.005 inch thick. If the measurement you get is more than 0.005 inch, chances are good "there's something other than paint under there," Sloane said. That rule of thumb doesn't apply to custom paint jobs, however, which can measure .012-inch to .015-inch thick or more. But even so, if you're running into paint that thick, it becomes "less and less desirable to put paint over what you have," Sloane said. "At that




Tools of the sanding trade, found at Paint n Place in Placentia, California: Sanding board

thickness, you can't assume you won't develop cracks in the new paint as the different substrates beneath it expand and contract at different rates.'

There is another way to look at the strip versus scuff-and-shoot question. Most of the restoration specialists and high-end rod builders we spoke to prefer to start a paint job with a completely clean slate: bare metal. That way they know exactly what they're building on as they put together a show-winning finish. So if you don't own a car with well-preserved, original paint or you're clearing a place on your mantel for a boatload of car-show trophies, read on to figure out the best plan of attack to get the starting point you need for eye-popping paint.





Paint-stripping techniques generally fall into two broad categories — mechanical and chemical. Mechanical stripping methods utilize some form of abrasive to remove paint from the metal. Abrasives range from good old-fashioned sandpaper to a variety of blasting media.

Do-it-yourselfers will usually default to sanding. Logistically, it's the easiest method, as the disassembly process is simpler than for blasting or dipping the metal, and you don't have to transport the car anywhere to get it done. It's also far less expensive. The thousand or more dollars you'd pay for blasting or dipping can buy a lot of sandpaper, not to mention a new air-powered sander, sanding boards, maybe even a new compressor if you shop smart. (See the "Compressor Tips" sidebar for more info on powering your air tools.)

Yet sanding an entire car, even if you're doing just the outside, is one of those jobs that's measured in days, not hours. If there's a ton of paint on the car, or if the paint is relatively new — and therefore strong — you're going to be working it awhile, even if you're using power sanders. And either way, your arms will ache for days afterward. But if you have more time and muscle than money, get out the paper and start rubbing.

One of sanding's advantages is that you can easily tailor its aggressiveness to the job at hand. If all you need to do is scuff original paint, a light touch with 320- to 400-grit paper should do the trick. If you're stripping down to metal, the consensus from our paint experts is that 80-grit is a good starting point. If your car's finish is particularly stubborn or thick, stepping down to 40- or even 24-grit paper will help cut the tough stuff. Take care, though, as it's very easy to gouge the metal when using paper that rough.

A power sander, whether a rotary or a dual-action (DA) sander, will cut your sanding time and effort considerably. A rotary sander generally spins faster than a DA, so it does offer more paint-cutting power, but there's a risk of burning rather than removing the paint if you're spinning the abrasive too fast. Burning the paint will, at best, clog your paper and waste material, and at worst, generate enough heat to warp the sheetmetal.

In some cases, you can remove paint more quickly by peeling it up with a razor blade than by rubbing it off with a sander. Jerry Sievers of Paint n Place told us he's seen entire race trailers stripped not with abrasives but with razors. This can be especially effective if the paint you're removing wasn't applied well in the first place. If you catch an edge of poorly prepped paint with a razor, it'll come off in sheets.



Sanding with 80-grit paper on a rotary or dual-action sander is a good way to attack the t




Not all sanding boards are flat. Note the curvature along the bottom of this board and how



Using a sanding board on broad, flat surfaces (such as this GTO door) helps the sandpaper



A stripping job doesn't necessarily have to go to bare metal. In this case, the Paint n Pl



Believe it or not, a razor blade can be just as effective as -- if not faster than -- sand

It's a Blast

Media blasting is an amazing thing to watch. Layers of paint that would take days to sand off simply vaporize



LATESTARTICLES



Champion Vintage Racing Engines



Hot Rod Magazine December 2013



David Freiburger - Up, Not Out



Finish Line - November 2013

Sponsored - Links

Keystone Abrasives

Mfg. Of Coated Abrasive Products Sanding Belts, Disc, Sheets & Rolls www.KeystoneAbrasives.com

Abrasives Ship FAST

Custom & Standard Abrasives. Quality Abrasives Ship In 24 Hrs! www.AbrasiveExpress.com

CJC Varnish Removal Unit

One-Pass Varnish Removal That Leaves A Lasting Impression...

www.klassenhydraulics.com/vru.html



at the end of a high-pressure nozzle. Depending on the medium used and the nozzle's line pressure, blasting can be gentle enough to strip wood and fiberglass or aggressive enough to cut through body filler and even rust.

If done improperly, however, media blasting can do more harm than good. Blast sheetmetal too hard, for too long, or with the wrong medium, and you'll warp panels, either because the impact of the medium is stretching the metal or because excessive heat caused by the medium hitting the metal is making it expand. Straight, flat panels are particularly prone to warping. One of the blasters we visited, Ron Hambright of Hambro Industries, won't blast Chevelle or El Camino hoods because the metal's too thin. Given the potential for damage, do some research and get referrals regarding the blasting operators in your area before bringing them your project car.

The best medium for your stripping job depends on what you expect to find beneath the top layer of paint. If you're stripping only a couple of coats of paint and you don't anticipate encountering much body filler or rust, or if you're stripping a nonmetal material such as fiberglass, a soft medium such as baking soda will work fine. The trade-off: Soda won't cut into rust. For cancerous panels or sheetmetal that's thickly coated with filler, a more aggressive medium such as aluminum oxide or DuPont's StarBlast will work better. Even with the more abrasive media, though, the operator has the option of removing filler entirely or just roughing it up for paint.

No matter which medium you choose, some disassembly will be required before you take your car to the blaster. If you're painting just the exterior, the prep job is easier, as all you need to remove are the car's trim pieces, bumpers, lights, and so on. For an "outside only" job like this, blasters can mask over glass to protect it from overspray and ricocheting media. But if you're planning a full-on, jambs-included, inside-and-out color change, you'll need to take off the doors, hood, and trunk lid, plus strip the interior of all upholstery, glass, carpet, and the instrument panel. Better access for the blasting nozzle gives you a more complete strip job.

It makes a difference regarding the job's price, too. The blasters we visited didn't have set prices for their work; the cost of the stripping depended on how much prep they had to do, how much car there was to strip, how long it took to get the metal clean, and whether the job was outside-only or inside-and-out. The more disassembly work you can do to make the blaster's job easier, the less the job will cost.

Given all the variables listed above, the blasters we interviewed were hesitant to give exact price quotes. But here are some ballpark figures: Hambright, who uses StarBlast for most <u>automotive</u> of sheetmetal, said an outside-only job for a typical muscle-era car would run about \$500. An "inside, outside, underneath" job on a unibody car like a Mustang runs closer to \$1,400, he said, "and that's every part of the car, including suspension." A stripped '57 Chewy we photographed at Hambro was a \$700 job, but that was because "there were no inner fenders to do, no frame or suspension pieces," Hambright said.

Manny Vega, whose Anacapa Soda Blasting stripped Editor Rob Kinnan's '69 Camaro with baking soda, estimated the job at between \$1,400 and \$1,600. That encompassed the body's exterior (including the fenders, cowl, and other miscellaneous parts, which were off the car) and the inside of one door, but not the rest of the interior, the firewall, or front subframe.

Both blasting shops perform a thorough cleaning of the car after blasting.

Hambro blows the StarBlast media out with compressed air and is able to reuse it. The Anacapa crew blows, vacuums, and even hoses out the used soda, which basically turns to talc on impact and can't be used again.

Water on bare sheetmetal? Yep. Vega claims the soda dries out the panels so thoroughly that as long as there's no standing water on the steel, it won't oxidize for days, even weeks. (Anacapa is located in Oxnard, California, near the coast, and we saw a lot of bare metal there that hadn't started to oxidize even after sitting for months.)

Because it's a relatively soft medium, soda will leave the bare metal fairly smooth. Some painters may want to scuff the metal with fine paper to promote adhesion before shooting primer. A medium like StarBlast, on the other hand, leaves the surface a little rougher, so no sanding is necessary prior to priming, Hambright said.



Not inclined to spend days sanding your ride? Media blasting strips automotive paint quick



Rust and other stubborn surface imperfections require a more aggressive medium. Hambro ind



Baking soda, which is used by Anacapa, is one of the less-aggressive blasting media. It'll



Media blasting is messy. Because the Camaro's taillights were removed before blasting, the





Washington Car Owners: Save 100's Switch And Save With 21st Century Insurance. Get Your Free Auto Insurance Quote Now!

21st.com



Compare New Autos
Get Unbiased Side-by-Side New Auto
Comparisons at Kelley Blue Book®.
Start Your Search Here

KBB.com



Rely On 25 Years Of Trusted Experience Do you want a quality pre-owned Ford? Get approved for a loan no matter your credit score! Click now

Easterns.com



Truck Bed Covers
Low Profile Rollup and Trifold
Designs, Only \$299. Free Shipping +
\$58 in Free Gifts!

ConnoPro.com Ads By VSW



StarBlast is too aggressive to shoot pot metal pieces, like this VIN plate, w ithout some p

Strip or Dip

As with mechanical stripping, there's a do-it-yourself way to chemically strip and a do-it-for-me method.

DIY-ers can purchase bottles, cans, or drums of chemical stripper, brush it onto the sheetmetal, let the chemistry work its magic, then scrape off the residue with some sort of edged tool. Using a plastic or nylon scraper or even a body filler spreader instead of a metal blade will prevent scratching or gouging the metal. Plan on using a couple of gallons of stripper to do a complete fullsize car, with the chemicals costing from \$30 to \$50 per gallon.



Many do-it-yourselfers prefer chemical stripping to sanding because it's faster and requir

Chemical stripper works fairly quickly — anywhere from 10 minutes to a couple of hours, depending on the type of stripper and the paint's type and thickness — and the brush-on/scrape-off process takes less effort than hours of sanding. However, the process doesn't stop at the scraping; some strippers need to be neutralized, and the body will need cleaning and sanding to prep it for primer.

You also have to keep stripper away from seams, as these folded-metal areas tend to retain the stripper. Eventually those chemicals will seep out of the seams and ruin your new paint job. Dan Swanson of Sears said trying to stop stripper from running into creases and seams "can turn into a project itself." Sloane of Eastwood recommends masking over seams before brushing on the stripper, then going back and stripping the seams mechanically with an abrasive wheel \(\overline{\textit{wheel}} \) \(\overline



Tank dipping, as seen here at Strip Clean, is chemical stripping taken to the extreme. The



Because only steel (plus copper and brass) can safely go into the dipping tank, the vehicl



After the car soaks in the tank for several hours, it's pulled out and hosed down with wat


If you have the funds, you can avoid the hassle (and hazardous waste) of chemical stripping by having your car dipped. This is by far the most thorough means of taking paint off a car because the caustic chemicals in the stripping tank leach into the sheetmetal's every nook, cranny, and crevice, seen and unseen. Every other means of stripping we've discussed is limited by access; you're removing paint only from where you can see or reach. The dip tank, on the other hand, strips every bit of paint off a car — as well as all body filler, sealer, caulk, weatherstripping, undercoating, rust, you name it. When a car comes out of a dipping tank, it's all steel and nothing else.


Because only steel, brass or, copper can safely go into the tank without risk of damage, your car has to be completely stripped of every nonferrous part prior to dipping. It must be totally disassembled, too, so that the chemicals can reach otherwise hidden places, such as the areas behind door hinges. Typically the car's body will go into the tank by itself, while the doors, hood, and other parts will be placed in a big, steel basket and dipped separately.


The time your car spends in the tank is just one part of the dipping process. After the car comes out, it's washed with a high-pressure hose to clean off any remaining chemicals and debris. Then the parts are dipped again, this time in a phosphate bath that coats the metal with a protective finish to keep it from rusting. Charlie Masters, who operates Strip Clean in Santa Ana, California, likens the phosphate coating to an etching primer. "You can paint right over it after scuffing it with Scotchbrite or a fine sandpaper."

The thoroughness of the dipping tank does have its drawbacks, though. Remember how we said paint is removed from areas both seen and unseen? If you can't see — or reach — part of the car, you can't repaint it. That means there will be portions of the car that remain covered with nothing but the phosphate coating.










Look into this fender's headlight bucket. Tank dipping removed all traces of rust but left

Nailing down the cost of dipping was like getting an exact blasting price — nearly impossible because of all the variables involved. The car's age, size, whether it's body-on-frame or unibody, and whether you're having the frame dipped were among the factors that affected the dip price. Masters was able to give us these estimates, though: A unit-body musclecar, such as a Mustang, would cost around \$1,600 to dip. "Something like a Cadillac, a really big sumbitch, would cost a couple hundred more," Masters said. A non-unit-body car, like a '40 Ford, would be between \$1,000 and \$1,600, while a Deuce roadster would run around \$850.

Is there one stripping method that's best? No. Each car is a unique case, as is each car owner. Your car's condition, your ability (or desire) to get your hands dirty, and the size of your bank account will all factor into which approach works best for your particular situation. Just be sure that, whichever method you choose, the stripping job is as perfect as it can be. Because at this point, you're starting to lay the foundation upon which the rest of the paint process will be built. And a mistake at this stage can ruin a whole lot of subsequent work. You don't want that.

Compressor Tips

Power: According to Sears' Dan Swanson, the compressor industry is in a state of transition, as major manufacturers switch their horsepower ratings from "maximum" to what's called "running" horsepower, a more realistic appraisal of the compressor's output. A 5hp maximum-rated compressor would have more like a 2hp running rating. But not all compressor-makers are complying, so take care when comparing power figures.





Tank capacity: Go big on the tank and you can sand longer before having to wait for the tank to fill. But Swanson actually doesn't mind switching between sanding and other tasks while the tank fills. "It's nice to give your hands a break from the vibration."

SOURCE

Anacapa Soda Blasting

Paint N Place

Eastwood

Sears, Roebuck & Co Hoffman Estates

263 Shoemaker Road

IL

Pottstown PA 19464 1-800-345-1178

Hambro Industries

Strip Clean

San Fernando

Santa Ana

CA







See all 20 Photos

Enjoyed this Post? Subscribe to our RSS Feed, or use your favorite social media to recommend us to friends and colleagues!

You May Also Like

What You Should Know About Using Paint Strippers

ByDoltYourself Staff

Like { 0

If Not Properly Used, Paint Strippers are Hazardous to Your Health and Safety

Paint strippers contain chemicals that loosen paint from surfaces. These chemicals can harm you if not used properly. Some paint stripping chemicals can irritate the skin and eyes, or cause headaches, drowsiness, nausea, dizziness, or loss of coordination. Some may cause cancer, reproductive problems, or damage of the liver, kidney, or brain. Others catch fire easily. Proper handling and use of paint strippers will reduce your exposure to these chemicals and lessen your health risk.

General Safety Precautions:

Paint strippers contain different chemicals, and the potential hazards are different for various products. Each product has specific safety precautions (see the section below on paint stripper types). However, there are some general safety steps to keep in mind when using any paint stripper. If you use paint strippers frequently, it is particularly important that you follow these steps:

- 1. Always read and follow all the instructions and safety precautions on the label. Do not assume you already know how to use the product. The hazards may be different from one product to another, and the ingredients in individual products often change over time. The label tells you what actions you should take to reduce hazards and the first aid measures to use.
- 2. Wear chemical-resistant gloves appropriate to the type of stripper being used (see manufacturer's instructions). Common kitchen latex gloves do not provide enough protection.
- 3. Avoid getting the paint stripper on your skin or in your eyes. Wear protective clothing and goggles appropriate for the project and type of stripper.
- 4. Use paint strippers outdoors if possible. If you must use them indoors, cross-ventilate by opening all doors and windows. Make sure there is fresh air movement throughout the room. Ventilate the area before, during, and after applying and stripping. Never use any paint stripper in a poorly ventilated area. If work must be done indoors under low ventilation conditions, consider having the work done professionally instead of attempting it yourself.
- 5. If you must work indoors, always work so the stripper fumes are blowing away from you and to the outside. A fan can be used to improve cross-ventilation and to ensure fresh air movement. A fan is particularly important for nonflammable products that evaporate quickly, such as methylene chloride. Electrical sparks from fans may increase the chance of flammable paint strippers fumes to catch fire.
- 6. Do not use flammable paint strippers near any source of sparks, flame, or high heat. Do not work near gas stoves, kerosene heaters, gas or electric water heaters, gas or electric clothes dryers, gas or electric furnaces, gas or electric space heaters, sanders, buffers, or other electric hand tools. Open flames, cigarettes, matches, lighters, pilot lights, or electric sparks can cause the chemicals in the paint strippers to suddenly catch fire.
- 7. Only strip paint with chemicals that are marketed as paint strippers. Never use gasoline, lighter fluid, or kerosene to strip paint.
- 8. Dispose of paint strippers according to the instructions on the label. If you have any questions, ask your local environmental sanitation department about proper disposal.

Types of Paint Strippers:

Solvent-Based Strippers: Most paint strippers are solvent-based. Solvents dissolve the bond between wood and paint. Solvents also can dissolve other materials, including the latex or rubber of common household or dish washing gloves. Some solvents will irritate or burn the skin. Some solvents may cause serious health effects even if contact does not immediately cause pain. In addition, many solvents evaporate quickly and you can easily inhale them. Inhalation of these solvents can produce health effects immediately or years after exposure. It is especially important to use paint strippers containing solvents that evaporate quickly either outdoors or in an indoor area with strong fresh air movement. Some paint strippers contain solvents that do not evaporate quickly. When using these strippers indoors, be sure to open windows and doors to provide

fresh air movement in and out of the work site. You should always follow the manufacturer's instructions and safety precautions. Use the amount of stripper recommended by the manufacturer to avoid buildup of harmful fumes.

The Different Types of Solvent-based Paint Strippers and Their Potential Hazards and Safety Precautions are:

- 1. Methylene Chloride (also called dichloromethane, or DCM): Methylene chloride is the most commonly used chemical in paint strippers. Methylene chloride products come in two varieties. One type is nonflammable, while the other type is flammable. The flammable paint strippers have less methylene chloride but have other flammable chemicals, including acetone, toluene, or methanol. Methylene chloride causes cancer in laboratory animals. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) consider the chemical to be a potential cause of cancer in humans. Methylene chloride evaporates quickly, and you can inhale it easily. Breathing high levels of methylene chloride over short periods can irritate the eyes, skin, nose, and lungs. It can also cause dizziness, headache, and lack of coordination. Your body changes some inhaled methylene chloride to carbon monoxide. Carbon monoxide lowers the blood's ability to carry oxygen. This can cause problems for people with heart, lung, or blood diseases who use methylene chloride paint strippers indoors without fresh air cross-ventilation. High exposures to methylene chloride for long periods can also cause liver and kidney damage.
 - It is very important to reduce your exposure to methylene chloride vapors.
 - It is very important to have a lot of fresh air when using methylene chloride products.
 - Use methylene chloride paint strippers outdoors if possible. If you must use them indoors, open all doors and windows to ensure that the fresh air is moving in and out of the room.
 - For indoor use of nonflammable methylene chloride strippers, also use a fan to keep fresh air moving throughout the work area. Electrical sparks from fans may increase the chance of flammable paint strippers fumes to catch fire.
 - The safest place to use flammable methylene chloride strippers is outdoors away from any source of sparks, flame, or high heat.
- 2. Acetone, Toluene, and Methanol: These chemicals are commonly used together. All three chemicals evaporate quickly and are very flammable. Breathing high levels of these chemicals can cause a variety of effects, including drowsiness, dizziness, and headache. Breathing high levels of toluene may harm unborn children. Breathing very high levels for a long period may cause brain damage. Toluene and methanol are poisonous if swallowed.
 - To avoid fire and health problems, it is very important to use products containing these chemicals only in areas with plenty of fresh air.
 - Do not work near an open flame, pilot lights, or electrical sparks when using flammable paint strippers. Do not use strippers near gas stoves, kerosene heaters, gas or electric water heaters, gas or electric clothes dryers, gas or electric furnaces, gas or electric space heaters, sanders, buffers, or other electric hand tools.
- 3. N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP): Excessive contact with NMP may cause skin swelling, blistering, and burns. These skin reactions may not appear until some time after exposure. N-methylpyrrolidone can readily get into the body through the skin and may cause health problems. NMP may cause reproductive problems and harm to unborn children.
 - · It is very important to wear chemical-resistant gloves and avoid skin contact when using this solvent.
 - Wash hands immediately after use, even when wearing gloves.
 - Gloves should fit properly and be chemical-resistant. Common kitchen latex gloves do not provide enough protection.
 - Avoid using this product for extended periods in an enclosed area without open doors or windows to the outside for cross-ventilation.
- 4. Dibasic Esters (DBE): including dimethyl adipate ester, dimethyl succinate ester, and dimethyl glutarate ester Much less is

known about the possible health effects of these chemicals than about most of the other paint stripping chemicals. Some people using DBE products without fresh air have reported temporary blurred vision. Repeatedly breathing DBE damages the cells lining the nose of laboratory animals. Some strippers include a mixture of DBE products and NMP.

- Avoid using this product for extended periods in an enclosed area without open doors or windows to the outside for cross-ventilation.
- Use appropriate protective clothing and provide fresh air to the work site when using these products.

Caustoc-Based Strippers (Not Flammable):

Caustic Alkalis: Caustic alkalis react with the paint coating and loosen it from the surface. One of the chemicals in this type of stripper is sodium hydroxide (lye). Some people do not use caustic alkalis because caustic products can darken wood and raise the grain. Caustics can cause severe burns to skin and eyes even on short contact. Therefore, be very careful to keep caustic chemicals away from skin and eyes and wear protective clothing. If contact occurs, wash off immediately with cold water. Caustics are also highly toxic if swallowed.

- It is very important to avoid skin and eye contact when using caustic alkalis.
- Use gloves that fit properly and are appropriate for caustic alkalis.
- · Wear appropriate protective clothing and goggles when using caustic alkalis.

Other Types of Paint Strippers:

Some paint strippers have a citrus smell or make "environmentally friendly" claims. However, these paint strippers may be hazardous despite the smell and environmental claims.

It is important to use appropriate protective clothing and fresh air for cross-ventilation when using these products.

Content Provided By the DOE

By DoltYourself Staff related articles



How to Strip Masonry Paint



How to Strip Paint from Drywall

Appendix E

U.S. Department of Labor Letter to EPA in Support of Rulemaking

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N -Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers

U.S. Department of Labor

Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health Washington, D.C. 20210



James J. Jones
Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Dear Mr. Jones:

This letter follows our discussion with your office related to the risks associated with methylene chloride (MC) and N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in paint removers and trichloroethylene (TCE) in aerosol degreasing, spot cleaning in dry cleaning, and vapor degreasing under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). More specifically, you are inquiring whether the risks from occupational exposure are more appropriately handled by actions taken under the Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) Act. Given certain limitations imposed on OSHA's authority under the OSH Act, this agency believes TSCA provides the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) with a means of eliminating or reducing the risks associated with these chemical uses in a more coordinated fashion across both consumer and occupational settings.

There are limits on OSHA's authority to regulate exposures to hazardous chemicals such as MC, NMP, and TCE. The OSH Act grants OSHA the authority to promulgate and enforce occupational safety and health standards to address exposure to unsafe levels of hazardous chemicals in the private sector and in most federal workplaces. See 29 U.S.C. §§ 652(5), 655(b)(5), 653(a), 668. OSHA lacks direct jurisdiction over state and local government workers, and they are covered only if they work in those states that have an OSHA-approved state safety and health program. See 29 U.S.C. § 652(5); 29 C.F.R. § 1902.4(d). In such cases, they are subject to the state's safety and health standards, which must be at least as effective as OSHA's requirements. See 29 U.S.C. § 667(c)(2). Currently, 28 states have OSHA-approved programs.

Moreover, OSHA does not cover self-employed workers, military personnel and uniquely military equipment, systems, and operations, and workers whose occupational safety and health hazards are regulated by another federal agency (for example, the Mine Safety and Health Administration, the Department of Energy, or the Coast Guard). In addition, since 1976, there has been an annual rider to OSHA's appropriation that prohibits the agency from expending appropriated funds to issue standards for or conduct enforcement activities against certain small farming operations. See, e.g., Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-76 (2014). Finally, OSHA's jurisdiction is limited to the workplace, and the agency does not have authority to address exposures outside that scope, such as purely consumer uses of hazardous chemicals.

OSHA has issued standards which set permissible exposure limits (PELs) for MC and TCE in the workplace. OSHA's MC standard, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.1052, was issued in 1997 through a rigorous notice and comment process and applies to general industry, construction, and shipyard employment. It sets the PEL for airborne MC to an eight-hour time-weighted-average (TWA) of 25 parts per million (ppm). This standard also includes provisions for initial exposure monitoring, engineering controls, work practice controls, medical monitoring, and personal protective equipment.

OSHA's PEL for occupational exposure to TCE is found at Table Z-2 of 29 C.F.R. § 1910.1000. Under Table Z-2, each employee's cumulative exposure to TCE during an eight-hour work shift may not exceed an eight-hour TWA of 100 ppm. Moreover, each employee's exposure to TCE may not exceed 200 ppm at any time during an eight-hour work shift (except that each employee's exposure to TCE may reach 300 ppm for five minutes every two hours). The PEL for TCE was adopted at the formation of OSHA in 1971 and is based on an outdated ACGIH occupational exposure limit. The ACGIH has since reduced its TCE exposure limit to a 10 ppm eight-hour TWA and a 15 minute short-term limit of 25 ppm to reflect updated scientific evidence.

OSHA does not have a PEL for NMP. However, the agency may issue citations and penalties to employers under the general duty provisions of the OSH Act, 29 U.S.C. § 654(a)(1), in instances where that substance presents a recognized hazard that is causing or is likely to cause death or serious physical harm to employees.

OSHA's current regulatory agenda does not include updates to the agency's MC and TCE requirements or the issuance of a new standard for NMP, and at this time OSHA does not anticipate such regulatory activity in the near future. However, OSHA supports the goals of EPA to broadly address the hazards associated with these chemicals and looks forward to collaborating with you on activities that will reduce occupational risk.

Sincerely,

David Michaels, PhD, MPH

			NA	

OSHA's Controlled Correspondence Unit

Room: N 3626 - Phone: 3 - 2050

DATE: 3 31 10 CONT	200915	FOR CCU USE ONLY
DIRECTORATE/OFFICE: DSG	rrol #: <u>81/12965</u>	DESTINATION (Check Box)
CONGRESSMAN(WOMAN)/SENATOR:		D(A/S
NON-CONGRESSIONAL ORIGINATOR:		□ sol/osh
		□ SOL/FLS
ORGINATOR ORGANIZATION:	1011 1- Ol- 10/110)	□ сси
SUBJECT/ISSUE: KISKS associated w/ M		☐ DIR
and N-Methylpyrolidere (NOCP) in	paint removers (TCE)	□ OCIA
COMMENTS		
TO (Circle): A/S SOL OCIA DIR CCU	TO (Circle): A/S SOL OCIA	DIR CCU
FROM (Initial): K.T. DATE: 44 16 CIRCLE: A/S SOL OCIA DIR CCU	FROM (Initial): DA	
TO (Circle): A/S SOL OCIA DIR CCU	TO (Circle): A/S SOL OCIA I	DIR CCU
FROM (Initial): DATE:	FROM (Initial): DA	TE:
CIRCLE: A/S SOL OCIA DIR CCU	CIRCLE: A/S SOL OCIA D	

AUTO PEN AUTHORIZATION
OAS INITIAL HERE:

DSG-3109

Secretary's Information Management System Version 4.0.1 U.S. Department of Lebox

Letter ▶ Invitation ▶ Memo ▶ Query ▶ Reports ▶ Logout ▶ Help

Correspondence ID:

Correspondence ID: OSHA-L2016-800965 Originator: Michaels, David

Correspondence ID: OSHA-L2016-800965 Originator: Michaels, David Addressed To: Jones, James Organization: DSG Constituent: Subject: Risk associated with Methylene Cloride (MC) and N-Methylprrolidone (NMP) in pain Letter Type: Agency Generated Letter Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action ront Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Blue Border	Create	
Originator: Michaels, David Addressed To: Jones, James Organization: DSG Constituent: Subject: Risk associated with Methylene Cloride (MC) and N-Methylprrolidone (NMP) in pain Letter Type: Agency Generated Letter Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Correspondence Details		
Originator: Michaels, David Addressed To: Jones, James Organization: DSG Constituent: Subject: Risk associated with Methylene Cloride (MC) and N-Methylprrolidone (NMP) in pain Letter Type: Agency Generated Letter Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Correspondence ID: OSH	A-L2016-800965	Record Status: Closed
Organization: DSG Constituent: Subject: Risk associated with Methylene Cloride (MC) and N-Methylprrolidone (NMP) in pain Letter Type: Agency Generated Letter Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			
Constituent: Subject: Risk associated with Methylene Cloride (MC) and N-Methylprrolidone (NMP) in pain Letter Type: Agency Generated Letter Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			
Subject: Risk associated with Methylene Cloride (MC) and N-Methylprrolidone (NMP) in pain Letter Type: Agency Generated Letter Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:		i	
Methylprrolidone (NMP) in pain Letter Type: Agency Generated Letter Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			
Signature Level: Assistant Secretary Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: Date Received: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Meti	nyiprrolidone (NMP) in pa	ne Cloride (MC) and N- ain
Information Copies: White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: Date Received: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			
White House ID: Date Stamps Date Entered: 3/31/2016 Date Received: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:		stant Secretary	
Date Entered: Date Entered: Date Received: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	•		
Date Received: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			
Date Received: 3/31/2016 Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Date Entered:	And the second s	3/31/2016
Correspondence Date: UND Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	*	Date Rece	
Due Date: 4/13/2016 Original Assignment Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			
Date: 3/31/2016 Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			
Office: DSG - Directorate of Standards & Guidance Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Original Assignment		.,,,
Action: Action Front Office Review Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Date: 3/31	L/2016	Michigan (1994) And Andrew (1994) And Andrew (1994) Andrew
Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:			s & Guidance
Assistant Secretary: CCU: Directorates:	Action: Actio		
CCU: Directorates:	Front Office Review		
	Assistant Secretary:		
	CCU:		
Close Out	Directorates:	V	
	Close Out		
Final Signed By: Michaels, David			
Final Signed Date: 4/4/2016	Close Out Date: 4/4/		

Appendix F

Articles on Methylene Chloride in Paint Removers

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N - Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers

Resources:

- Estill, C. Fairfield, R. Kurimo, and D. Watkins. "Engineering Controls for Furniture Strippers to Meet the New OSHA PEL for Methylene Chloride." AIHce 2000 (2000): 326-333.
 - Available online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12174809
 - Abstract: This case study demonstrates how methylene chloride exposures during furniture stripping can be reduced to below the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 25 ppm (as an 8-hour time-weighted average). Five surveys were conducted at one facility; the first four resulted in employee exposure geometric means from 39 to 332 ppm. For the fifth survey local exhaust ventilation was used at the stripping tank and the rinsing area, which together exhausted 138 m3/min (4860 ft3/min). Additional controls included providing adequate make-up air, adding paraffin wax to the stripping solution, raising the level of the stripping solution in the tank, and discussing good work practices with the employee. The employees' methylene chloride exposures during the fifth survey resulted in a geometric mean of 5.6 ppm with a 95% upper confidence limit of 8.3 ppm, which was found to be significantly lower than the OSHA PEL and the OSHA action level of 12.5 ppm. The cost of the ventilation system was \$8900.
- 2. Anundi, H., et al. "Air and Biological Monitoring of Solvent Exposure during Graffiti Removal." *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health.* National Center for Biotechnology Information. U.S. National Library of Medicine, 4 Mar. 2000: 361-369.
 - Available online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11100951
 - Abstract: The principal aim of the study was to estimate the level of exposure to organic solvents of graffiti removers, and to identify the chemicals used in

Appendix F: Articles on Methylene Chloride in Paint Removers

different cleaning agents. A secondary objective was to inform about the toxicity of various products and to optimise working procedures. Many different cleaning agents were used. The average exposure to solvents was low, but some working tasks included relatively high short-term exposure. To prevent adverse health effects, it is important to inform workers about the health risks and to restrict the use of the most toxic chemicals. Furthermore, it is important to develop good working procedures and to encourage the use of personal protection equipment.

- 3. California Department of Public Health- Occupational Health Branch. "Methylene chloride linked to worker death in tank." (2012).
 - Available online: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohb-face/Documents/paintstripper.pdf
 - Abstract: The California Fatality Assessment and Control Evaluation (CA/FACE) program tracks and investigates cases of fatal injuries at work, and makes prevention recommendations for employers and workers. The CA/FACE program is investigating the preventable death of a worker who was using a paint stripper inside a tank at a paint manufacturing company. A second worker was also nearly killed after attempting a rescue.
- 4. Riley, D.M., et al. "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Risk-Reduction Strategies for Consumer Chemical Products." *Risk Analysis*. Vol. 21, No. 2. (2001): 357-369.
 - Available online: http://sds.hss.cmu.edu/risk/articles/EffectConsumerChem.pdf
 - Abstract: Communication about risks offers a voluntary approach to reducing exposure to pollutants. Its adequacy depends on its impact on behavior. Estimating those impacts first requires characterizing current activities and their associated risk levels, and then predicting the effectiveness of risk reduction strategies. Characterizing the risks from chemical consumer products requires knowledge of both the physical and the behavioral processes that influence exposures. This article presents an integrated approach that combines consumer interviews, users' beliefs and behaviors, and quantitative exposure modeling. This model was demonstrated in the context of consumer exposure to a methylene chloride-based paint stripper, showing how it could be used to evaluate current levels of risk and predict the effectiveness of proposed voluntary risk-reduction strategies.

Appendix G

Alternative Paint Removal Products – in Response to SER Request

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Methylene Chloride and N -Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in Paint Removers US EPA April 6, 2016

Proposed Rulemaking under TSCA Section 6: Paint Removers

SBAR Pre-Panel Outreach: Response to SER Request

During the pre-panel outreach meeting on March 17, 2016, small entity representatives were interested in learning if there were currently paint and coating removing products available for sale that 1) do not contain methylene chloride and 2) do not contain more than 25% N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP). In response to that request, EPA has compiled the following list of products based on information in publicly available Safety Data Sheets (SDS). These are the products EPA is aware of that, based on their SDS, appear to meet these criteria. It is not a comprehensive list of all possible products.

This list of paint remover products is provided **for informational purposes only.** It was generated by EPA on May 15, 2015 by searching through all of the publicly available product SDSs that EPA could find, and it may not necessarily be complete. Additionally, EPA has not verified the chemical composition of the products on this list. Furthermore, formulations may have changed since EPA accessed the SDS in May 2015.

Inclusion on this list is not intended to be or imply any endorsement of the product or the manufacturer.

Type of product	Product Name
NMP ~25% or less	Dumond Peel Away 7 General
NMP ~25% or less	Dumond Peel Away 4 General
NMP ~25% or less	Dumond Peel Away 5 General
NMP ~25% or less	Sprayon SP 404 Graffiti
NMP ~25% or less	Sprayon SP 405 General
NMP ~25% or less	Sprayon SP 915 General
NMP ~25% or less	CRC Gasket Remover
NMP ~25% or less	CRC Graffiti Remover
NMP ~25% or less	Sunnyside West Marine Remover Spray Marin
NMP ~25% or less	Sunnyside Easy-Strip General
NMP ~25% or less	Sunnyside Ready-Strip Deck
NMP ~25% or less	Sunnyside Ready-Strip Marine
NMP ~25% or less	Sunnyside Ready-Strip Pro General
NMP ~25% or less	Teknikem RonJohn Dip Strip
NMP ~25% or less	Chemtronics Inc Super Bio Wash
NMP ~25% or less	Invista Formulation A
NMP ~25% or less	Invista Formulation B
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Atco Vango II Graffiti Remover
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Atco Vanish Graffiti Remover
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Dumond Peel Away Marine Safety Strip
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Savogran Biodegradable Spray Graffiti Remover
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Aqua Strip General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Back to Nature Double Duty General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Back to Nature Iduna Strip General

US EPA April 6, 2016

Type of product	Product Name
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Back to Nature IV-S General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Back to Nature Strip-Tox General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Dyna Strip 2 General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Dyna Strip 3 General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Multi-Strip Professional General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Paint Remover 651General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Ready-Strip Mastic Remover
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Ready-Strip Plus Safer P&V Remover General
NMP ~25% or less + Dibasic Esters	Sunnyside Tough 2 Strip General
Acetone-Tolune-Methanol	Benco B20 Industrial Paint Remover
Acetone-Tolune-Methanol	Star10 Phase 1 Semi-Paste Paint Stripper/Phase 2 Liquid Paint Stripper
Acetone-Tolune-Methanol	Star 10 Aerosol Stipper
Caustic	Fiberlock Piranha NexStrip 8 Alkaline Paint Remover
Caustic	Dumond Peel Away 1
Caustic	Dumond Smart Strip HD
Caustic	Air Products
Caustic	Marine Strip
Benzyl Alcohol	McGean-Roh Co Cee-Bee E-1058
Benzyl Alcohol	Dumond Smart Strip
Benzyl Alcohol	Chemique StripIt Safer Water Based Paint Remover
Benzyl Alcohol	Dumond Smart Strip PRO
Benzyl Alcohol	Dumond Smart Strip Log and Timber
Benzyl Alcohol	Benco B96 Industrial Paint Remover
Dibasic Esters	Seymour Graffiti Remover
Dibasic Esters	Invista DBE-2
Dibasic Esters	Invista Formulation C
Dibasic Esters	Charlotte Products Paint Stripper and Graffiti Remover

Appendix H

OSHA Assigned Protection Factors for the Revised Respiratory Protection
Standard

for EPA's Planned Proposed Rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 6(a) for Trichloroethylene in Vapor Degreasing

Find source at https://www.osha.gov/Publications/3352-APF-respirators.pdf